

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,444	07/05/2001	Elsie Van Herreweghen	CH92000009US1	4090
7590 04/03/2007 Steven Fischman, Esq SULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER			EXAMINER	
			KIM, JUNG W	
400 Garden City Plaza Suite 300 Garden City, NY 11530-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2132		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/899,444	HERREWEGHEN, ELSIE VAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jung Kim	2132	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1.

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-28 and 30-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

GILBERTO BARRON TR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2132

Continuation Sheet

Applicant argues that the combination of Lewis, Ellison, Brand and Muftic does not render obvious the limitations of claims 12, 14-16 and 18 because Lewis does not disclose any mechanism to keep the owner anonymous or pseudonymous, or suggest using first and second private-public key pairs to practice the invention. Applicant further argues that Ellison, Brand and Muftic do not remedy Lewis's shortcomings. (Remarks, pgs. 12-15) Examiner disagrees. As indicated in the rejections of the final Office action mailed on 1/12/07, Lewis discloses generating a certified receipt by using a first public-private key pair by a sender and signing the receipt using a second publicprivate key pair by an issuer of the receipt. Ellison discloses producing pseudonyms by generating a key pair and certifying the generated public key by a trusted center to withhold the identity of a user in transactions requiring the use of the pseudonym key to certify digital signatures of the user (Ellison, col. 3:8-13; 3:57-5:9). This concept of Ellison suggests applying a pseudonym to the signature of the receipt to maintain the privacy of the owner of the receipt. Furthermore, Brand discloses using different pseudonyms at different organizations such that the pseudonyms are unlinkable. (col. 2:15-34) This particular concept of Brand applied to the teachings of Lewis and Ellison suggest using different pseudonym key pairs for different types of transactions to ensure unlinkability of the transaction between the user and the organization issuing the receipt, and between the user and the organization verifying the receipt. Hence,

Art Unit: 2132

contrary to applicant's allegations, Lewis, Ellison and Brand in combination disclose the limitations of the claims in question.

Page 3

Applicant's other arguments with respect to the prior art rejections are similar to the ones discussed for claims 12, 14-16 and 18. Hence, applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Communications Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jung W. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3804. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. Jk March 30, 2007